

Exploring Functional Mellin Transforms

J. LaChapelle

Abstract

We define functional Mellin transforms within a scheme for functional integration proposed in [1]. Functional Mellin transforms can be used to define functional traces, logarithms, and determinants. The associated functional integrals are useful tools for probing function spaces in general and C^* -algebras in particular. Several interesting aspects are explored.

1 Introduction

Rigorous functional integration had its beginnings in the study of stochastic processes — particularly the Wiener process — and was therefore deeply rooted in probability theory. Later, functional integrals in the form of Feynman path integrals were found to be useful in quantum theory and partial differential equations, and so they have been extensively developed and utilized in the mathematical physics literature.

One consequence of this heritage is that functional integration methods in mathematical physics borrow heavily from probability constructs and are mostly confined to transformations or expansions/perturbations of quadratic-type functional integrals. These archetypical functional integrals are distinguished by the fact that the characteristic function of a Gaussian probability distribution is again a Gaussian, and the probability analogy is used to carry this notion over to the context of quantum physics. This allows functional integrals to be interpreted as functional Fourier transforms between dual Banach spaces.

But the probability analogy can be both inspirational and restrictive. On one hand, probability theory is a useful complement to intuition, and it is easy to imagine that functional integrals based on probability distributions other than Gaussian would be useful in mathematical physics. On the other hand, expressing probability distributions through their characteristic functions can lead to an emphasis on Fourier transform as a guiding principle, and this encourages expansions around Gaussian backgrounds.

Keeping with the probability analogy, make the simple observation that a probability distribution can also be characterized by its cumulative distribution function. In the functional context, this observation leads to a notion of functional integral that goes beyond the the Fourier transform in the sense that it does not depend on a duality structure between Banach spaces. Instead, the idea is to view a functional integral as a *family* of bona fide integrals based on locally compact topological groups and their associated Haar measures.

This view of functional integrals is presented and developed in [1] where, in particular, Fourier duality is employed to characterize not only Gaussian functional integrals but also symplectic functional integrals. But as we have stressed, Fourier transform — as useful as

it is — is not the only game in town. It is not hard to see that the functional analog of the Mellin transform might be a useful tool.

For functional Mellin transforms, the probability analogy remains a profitable guide; but this time in the richer context of Banach algebras. Like the functional Fourier transform, it turns out that functional Mellin also encodes a duality — but an algebraic rather than group duality. In particular, functional Mellin provide a means to represent C^* -algebras. The purpose of this paper is construct and develop this tool.

Since the functional integral framework we will use is based on topological groups, we start with a brief exposition of some pertinent results concerning locally compact topological groups and their associated integral operators on Banach algebras. The proposed definition of functional integral is then stated, but we refer to [1] for details.¹

The remainder of the paper concentrates on the functional analog of the Mellin transform. In the functional context, Mellin is not just a simple transformation of Fourier, so developing and investigating the infinite dimensional analog of Mellin transforms is worthwhile. We use the functional Mellin transform to define functional analogs of trace, log and determinant. Contained in a key theorem based on these definitions is the functional generalization of $\exp \operatorname{tr} M = \det \exp M$ that, roughly stated, says the Mellin transform and exponential map commute under appropriate conditions.

There are good reasons — beyond representing C^* -algebras — to expect that functional Mellin transforms will be useful in applied mathematics. To give just a few: It is well-known that spectral properties of operators associated with a C^* -algebra are closely related to Mellin transforms in the context of functional calculus. Properties of the Mellin transform allow efficient analytic treatment of harmonic integrals, asymptotic analysis of harmonic sums, and Fuchsian type partial differential equations [2],[3]. Finally, functional integrals based on the gamma probability distribution show up in the study of constrained function spaces, and these are particular functional Mellin transforms [4].

2 Topological groups

The proposed scheme for functional integration is based on topological groups. So this entire section comprises a selection of particularly pertinent definitions and theorems (which we state without proof) all of which can be found in [5],[6].²

Definition 2.1 *A Hausdorff topological group G is a group endowed with a topology such that; (i) multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$ by $(g, h) \mapsto gh$ and inversion $G \rightarrow G$ by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ are continuous maps, and (ii) $\{e\}$ is closed.*

G is locally compact if every $g \in G$ has a neighborhood basis³ comprised of compact sets.

G is a Lie group if there exists a neighborhood U of $\{e\}$ such that, for every subgroup H , if $H \subseteq U$ then $H = \{e\}$.

¹Because the functional integrals we use are defined in terms of bona fide integrals, Appendix A contains a basic review of the finite-dimensional Mellin transform.

²Unfortunately, we must necessarily omit a host of interesting and deep results from these references.

³A neighborhood basis at $g \in G$ is a family $\mathcal{N}$ of neighborhoods such that given any neighborhood U of g there exists an $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $N \subset U$.

Remark that the closure hypothesis on $\{e\}$ together with the topology and group structure allow the closure property to be ‘transported’ to every element in G . That is, G is Hausdorff iff $\{e\}$ is closed. Moreover, since G is Hausdorff, it is locally compact iff every $g \in G$ possesses a compact neighborhood.

The motivation for the following definition comes from analogy with the exponential map for finite Lie groups.

Definition 2.2 ([5] ch. 5) *A one-parameter subgroup $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ of a topological group is the unique extension of a continuous homomorphism⁴ $f \in \text{Hom}_C(I \subseteq \mathbb{R}, G)$ such that $f(t+s) = f(t)f(s)$ and $f(0) = e \in G$. Let $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ denote the set of one-parameter subgroups $\text{Hom}_C(\mathbb{R}, G)$ endowed with the uniform convergence topology on compact sets in $\mathbb{R}$. The exponential function is*

$$\exp_G : \mathfrak{L}(G) \rightarrow G \text{ by } \exp_G(\mathfrak{g}) := \phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(1) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{L}(G)$ is identified with $d\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(1) \in T_e(G)$. Similarly, $\log_G := \exp_G^{-1}$ is a well-defined function.

In particular, if G is an abelian topological group, then $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ is a topological vector space with the uniform convergence topology on compact sets.

Let B be the invertible elements of some (unital) Banach algebra $\mathfrak{B}$. Then B is a topological group and there exists a homeomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(B)$ such that $\phi_{\eta(\mathfrak{b})}(1) = \exp_B(\eta(\mathfrak{b})) =: \exp_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{b})$. Moreover, for any subgroup $G^B \subseteq B$, there is an induced homeomorphism $\eta_{G^B} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(G^B)$. Consequently, the exponential function extends to the algebra level $\exp_{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow B$ by $\mathfrak{b} \mapsto \exp_{\mathfrak{B}}(t\mathfrak{b}) = \phi_{\mathfrak{b}}(t)$, and it enjoys the standard properties if $\mathfrak{B}$ is endowed with a Lie bracket.

Proposition 2.1 ([5] prop. 5.3)

Let N_0 be the connected component of the 0-neighborhood of $\exp_{\mathfrak{B}}^{-1}B_1(\mathbf{1})$. Then

- i) $\log_{\mathfrak{B}}(\exp_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b} \quad \forall \mathfrak{b} \in N_0$.
 - ii) $\exp_{\mathfrak{B}}(\log_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b} \quad \forall \mathfrak{b} \in B_1(\mathbf{1})$.
 - iii) $\exp_{\mathfrak{B}}|_{N_0} : N_0 \rightarrow B_1(\mathbf{1})$ is an analytic homeomorphism with analytic inverse $\log_{\mathfrak{B}} : B_1(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow N_0$.
- (2)

Definition 2.3 ([5] def. 5.32) *Let $\mathfrak{B}_L \subseteq (\mathfrak{B}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a closed Lie subalgebra of some Banach algebra $(\mathfrak{B}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ equipped with a Lie bracket. Let B_l be a subgroup of the multiplicative group of units of $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $\exp_{\mathfrak{B}_L}$ is a homeomorphism mapping a neighborhood of $\{0\} \in \mathfrak{B}_L$ into a neighborhood of $\{e\} \in B_l$. A topological group is a linear Lie group if it is isomorphic to B_l .*

Proposition 2.2 ([5] th. 5.41) *If G is a linear Lie group, then the set $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ is a completely normable topological real Lie algebra and $\exp_G$ is a homeomorphism from a 0-neighborhood of $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ to an e -neighborhood in G .*

⁴Denote continuous homomorphisms $G \rightarrow H$ by $\text{Hom}_C(G, H)$.

There are of course many other interesting and useful structures regarding topological group structures (e.g. for subgroups, quotients, product groups, etc.) that will only be recorded as needed. The most important for our application is the following well-known result:

Theorem 2.1 *If G is locally compact, then there exists a unique (up to positive scalar multiplication) Haar measure. If G is compact, then it is unimodular. If G is a locally compact linear Lie group then it is a $\dim\mathfrak{L}(G)$ manifold.*

Evidently, locally compact topological groups can be used as a footing on which to ground functional integration: They supply measure spaces on which to model functional integral domains and their associated integrators.

Accordingly, we require Banach-valued integration on locally compact topological groups:

Proposition 2.3 ([6] prop. B.34) *Let G_λ be a locally compact topological group, μ its associated Haar measure, and $\mathfrak{B}$ a Banach space possibly with an algebraic structure. Then the set of integrable functions $L^1(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B}) \ni f$, consisting of equivalence classes of measurable functions equal almost everywhere with norm $\|f\|_1 := \int_{G_\lambda} \|f(g_\lambda)\| d\mu(g_\lambda) \leq \|f\|_\infty \mu(\text{supp } f) < \infty$, is a Banach space. Moreover, $f \mapsto \int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\mu(g_\lambda)$ is a linear map such that*

$$\left\| \int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\mu(g_\lambda) \right\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \mu(\text{supp } f) \quad (3)$$

for all $f \in L^1(G_\lambda v, \mathfrak{B})$,

$$\varphi \left(\int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\mu(g_\lambda) \right) = \int_{G_\lambda} \varphi(f(g_\lambda)) d\mu(g_\lambda) \quad (4)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}'$, and

$$L_B \left(\int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\mu(g_\lambda) \right) = \int_{G_\lambda} L_B(f(g_\lambda)) d\mu(g_\lambda) \quad (5)$$

for bounded linear maps $L_B : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_2$. Moreover, Fubini's theorem holds for all equivalence classes $f \in L^1(G_1 \times G_2, \mathfrak{B})$.

Corollary 2.1 *Let $\mathfrak{B}^*$ be a C^* -algebra and $\pi : \mathfrak{B}^* \rightarrow L_B(\mathcal{H})$ a representation with $L_B(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then*

$$\left\langle \pi \left(\int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\mu(g_\lambda) \right) v | w \right\rangle = \int_{G_\lambda} \langle \pi(f(g_\lambda)) v | w \rangle d\mu(g_\lambda) , \quad (6)$$

$$\left(\int_{G_\lambda} v f(g_\lambda) d\mu(g_\lambda) \right)^* = \int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda)^* d\mu(g_\lambda) , \quad (7)$$

and

$$a \int_{G_\lambda} v f(g_\lambda) d\mu(g_\lambda) b = \int_{G_\lambda} a f(g_\lambda) b d\mu(g_\lambda) \quad (8)$$

where $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ and $a, b \in M(\mathfrak{B}^*)$ with $M(\mathfrak{B}^*)$ the multiplier algebra⁵ of $\mathfrak{B}^*$.

It can be shown ([6], Appendix B) that $L^1(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B}^*)$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra when equipped with the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm, the convolution

$$f_1 * f_2(g_\lambda) := \int_{G_\lambda} f_1(h_\lambda) f_2(h_\lambda^{-1} g_\lambda) d\mu(h_\lambda), \quad (9)$$

and the involution

$$f^*(g_\lambda) := f(g_\lambda^{-1})^* \Delta(g_\lambda^{-1}) \quad (10)$$

where Δ is the modular function on G_λ .

Let G_λ be locally compact and $U : G_\lambda \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation furnished by some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Consider integrals of the type $\int_{G_\lambda} \pi(f(g_\lambda)) U(g_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda)$. As it stands, this integral is not well-defined because $\pi(f(g_\lambda)) U(g_\lambda)$ is not a continuous function in general. To fix the problem, it is enough to equip the multiplier algebra of $\mathfrak{B}^*$ with the strict topology since then $\pi(f(g_\lambda)) U(g_\lambda)$ is continuous for $f(g_\lambda) \in M_s(\mathfrak{B}^*)$ where $M_s(\mathfrak{B}^*)$ denotes $M(\mathfrak{B}^*)$ endowed with the strict topology ([6], §1.5):⁶

Proposition 2.4 ([6] lemma 1.101) *For $f \in C_C(G_\lambda, M_s(\mathfrak{B}^*))$ (i.e. $f : G_\lambda \rightarrow M_s(\mathfrak{B}^*)$ is continuous and compactly supported), and $\bar{\pi} : M(\mathfrak{B}^*) \rightarrow L_B(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a linear map $f \mapsto \int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda)$ from $C_C(G_\lambda, M_s(\mathfrak{B}^*))$ to $M(\mathfrak{B}^*)$ such that*

$$\left\langle \bar{\pi} \left(\int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda) \right) v | w \right\rangle = \int_{G_\lambda} \langle \bar{\pi}(f(g_\lambda)) v | w \rangle d\nu(g), \quad (11)$$

and

$$\bar{l} \left(\int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda) \right) = \int_{G_\lambda} \bar{l}(f(g_\lambda)) d\nu(g_\lambda) \quad (12)$$

for $\bar{l} : M(\mathfrak{B}^*) \rightarrow M(\mathfrak{B}_2^*)$ a non-degenerate homomorphism.

With the understanding that the target Banach algebra gets replaced by its multiplier algebra endowed with the strict topology, the integral of interest $\int_{G_\lambda} \pi(f(g_\lambda)) U(g_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda)$ has meaning and

Proposition 2.5 ([6] ch. 2.3)

$$\pi \rtimes U(f) := \int_{G_\lambda} \pi(f(g_\lambda)) U(g_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda) \quad (13)$$

defines a $*$ -representation of $C_C(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B}^*)$ on $\mathcal{H}$.

This proposition embodies the crossed-product approach to algebraic quantization [7].

⁵Recall the multiplier algebra can be characterized as the set of adjointable linear operators $L_*(\mathfrak{B}_\mathfrak{B}^*)$ on $\mathfrak{B}^*$ viewed as a right Hilbert module over itself. If $\mathfrak{B}^*$ is unital then $M(\mathfrak{B}^*) = \mathfrak{B}^*$.

⁶Proposition 2.3 and consequently Definition 3.1 were stated for $f \in L^1(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B})$. In order to quote [6] precisely and thereby avoid introducing technical difficulties, we restrict here to $f \in C_C(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B}^*)$ with $\mathfrak{B}^*$ a C^* -algebra. But we point out that $C_C(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B}^*)$ is dense in $L^1(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B}^*)$ since G_λ is locally compact [6]. Here $C_C(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B}^*)$ denotes the set of continuous, compactly-supported functions $f : G_\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^*$.

3 Functional integration scheme

Our functional integrals are based on the data $(G, \mathfrak{B}, G_\Lambda)$ where G is a Hausdorff topological group, $\mathfrak{B}$ is a Banach space that may have additional algebraic structure, and $G_\Lambda := \{G_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a family of locally compact topological groups indexed by continuous homomorphisms $\lambda : G \rightarrow G_\lambda$ (recall G_λ is locally compact).

Definition 3.1 *Let ν be a left Haar measure⁷ on G_λ , and $L^1(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B})$ be the Banach space of $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued functions $f : G_\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ integrable with respect to ν . Let $\mathbf{F}(G)$ denote the space of integrable functionals $F : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$.*

A family (indexed by Λ) of integral operators $\text{int}_\Lambda : \mathbf{F}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is defined by

$$\text{int}_\lambda(F) = \int_G F(g) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g := \int_{G_\lambda} f(g_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda) \quad (14)$$

where $F = f \circ \lambda$ with $f \in L^1(G_\lambda, \mathfrak{B})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.⁸ We say that F is integrable with respect to the integrator family $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda g$.

Further, if $\mathfrak{B}$ is an algebra, define the functional $*$ -convolution and $\star$ -convolution by

$$(F_1 * F_2)_\lambda(g) := \int_G F_1(\tilde{g}) F_2(\tilde{g}^{-1}g) \mathcal{D}_\lambda \tilde{g} \quad (15)$$

and

$$(F_1 \star F_2)_\lambda(g) := \int_G F_1(\tilde{g}g) F_2(\tilde{g}\tilde{g}) \mathcal{D}_\lambda \tilde{g} \quad (16)$$

for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

For any given λ , the integral operator is linear and bounded according to

$$\|\text{int}_\lambda(F)\| \leq \int_{G_\lambda} \|f(g_\lambda)\| d\nu(g_\lambda) = \|f\|_1 < \infty. \quad (17)$$

This suggests to define the norm $\|F\| := \sup_\lambda \|F\|_\lambda$ where

$$\|F\|_\lambda := \int_G \|F(g)\| \mathcal{D}_\lambda g := \int_{G_\lambda} \|f(g_\lambda)\| d\nu(g_\lambda) = \|f\|_1 < \infty. \quad (18)$$

The definition of $*$ -convolution then implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1 * F_2\|_\lambda &= \int_{G_\lambda} \int_{G_\lambda} \|f_1(\tilde{g}_\lambda) f_2(\tilde{g}_\lambda^{-1} g_\lambda)\| d\nu(\tilde{g}_\lambda, g_\lambda) \\ &= \int_{G_\lambda} \int_{G_\lambda} \|f_1(\tilde{g}_\lambda) f_2(g_\lambda)\| d\nu(\tilde{g}_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_{G_\lambda} \int_{G_\lambda} \|f_1(\tilde{g}_\lambda)\| \|f_2(g_\lambda)\| d\nu(\tilde{g}_\lambda) d\nu(g_\lambda) \\ &= \|F_1\|_\lambda \|F_2\|_\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

⁷The Haar measure ν does not necessarily have unit normalization. Recall that if ν and μ are left and right Haar measures respectively, then $\nu(G_\lambda) = \mu(G_\lambda^{-1})$ and $d\nu(g_\lambda) = \Delta(g_\lambda^{-1}) d\mu(g_\lambda^{-1})$ where Δ is the modular function on G_λ .

⁸Insofar as G_λ may be an abelian group of suitably defined maps, this justifies calling $\mathbf{F}(G)$ the space of integrable functionals, and it is convenient to keep this terminology in the general case.

where the second line follows from left-invariance of the Haar measure and the last line follows from Fubini. Moreover, a similar computation establishes that $(F_1 * F_2) * F_3 = F_1 * (F_2 * F_3)$.

Therefore, $\mathbf{F}(G)$ inherits the algebraic structure of $\mathfrak{B}$ and we have

Proposition 3.1 *$\mathbf{F}(G)$ equipped with the $*$ -convolution is a Banach algebra when completed w.r.t. the norm $\|F\| := \sup_\lambda \|F\|_\lambda$.*

Similarly,

Proposition 3.2 ([1] prop. 2.2) *If $\mathfrak{B} \equiv \mathfrak{B}^*$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra, then $\mathbf{F}(G)$ — endowed with the involution $F^*(g) := F(g^{-1})^* \Delta(g^{-1})$ and suitable topology and complete w.r.t. the norm $\|F^*\| = \|F\| = \sup_\lambda \|F\|_\lambda$ — is a Banach $*$ -algebra, and int_λ is a $*$ -homomorphism.*

Corollary 3.1 *If $\mathfrak{B}$ is a C^* -algebra, then $\mathbf{F}(G)$ is C^* -algebra when completed w.r.t. the norm $\|F\| = \sup_\lambda \|F\|_\lambda$.*

4 Functional Mellin transform

The close relationship between Fourier and Mellin transforms suggests introducing the functional analog of the Mellin transform. However, contrary to the finite-dimensional case, the functional analog of the Mellin transform does not seem to be related to the functional Fourier transform: it is not clear how the change of variable in the finite-dimensional case carries over into the duality structure. Instead, we propose to interpret the functional Mellin transform in terms of one-parameter subgroups of a topological group.

To define the functional Mellin transform, we restrict the functional integral data to the case of $\mathfrak{B} \equiv \mathfrak{C}^*$ a C^* -algebra and $G \equiv G_A$ a topological linear Lie group isomorphic to the group (or subgroup) of units A_l of some Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.⁹ In order to utilize results from reference [5], we will analytically continue the one-parameter subgroups of a topological linear Lie group that were outlined in §2.

4.1 The definition

Recall the definition of the exponential function on G : it associates an element $g^1 \in G$ with some $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{L}(G)$ by $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(1) = \exp_G(\mathfrak{g}) =: g^1$. Then, by the definition of one-parameter subgroups, $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(t) = \exp_G(t\mathfrak{g}) =: g^t$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and the short-hand notation g^t can formally be interpreted as the t -th power of g in the sense that $g^t = \exp_G(t \log_G g^1)$.

Now let $\gamma_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $t \mapsto z \in \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous injective homomorphism such that $\gamma_0(0) = 0$. Denote the set of one-parameter complex subgroups $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \gamma_0^{-1}$ by $\mathfrak{L}(G)^{\mathbb{C}} := \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{C}, G)$ such that $g^1 = \exp_G(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{\phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma_0(1))$. Then $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) = \exp_G(z\mathfrak{g}) =: g^z$ is the complex analytic extension of a one-parameter subgroup of G parametrized by the complex parameter z and subject to the condition $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma_0(1)) = \exp_G(\mathfrak{g})$.

We thus have a complex analytic exponential map of the *complex* group $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$. Formally interpret g^z as a complex power of g . This is what is needed for functional Mellin. So the functional integral data is now $(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{C}^*, G_{A,\Lambda}^{\mathbb{C}})$ in this entire section.

⁹The subscript A reminds that G_A is a (sub)group of units and hence a topological linear Lie (sub)group.

Definition 4.1 Let $\mathfrak{C}^*$ be a C^* -algebra, the map $\rho : G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow M(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ be a continuous, injective homomorphism, and $\pi : \mathfrak{C}^* \rightarrow L_B(\mathcal{H})$ be a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism. Define continuous functionals $\mathbf{F}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}) \ni F : G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^*$ equivariant under right-translations¹⁰ according to $F(gh) = F(g)\rho(h)$. Then the functional Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}_\lambda : \mathbf{F}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow M(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda[F; \alpha] := \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} F(gg^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g = \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} F(g) \rho(g^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \quad (20)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$, $g^\alpha := \exp_G(\alpha \log_G g)$ and $\pi(F(g)\rho(g^\alpha)) \in L_B(\mathcal{H})$ where the space of bounded linear operators $L_B(\mathcal{H})$ is given the operator-norm topology. Denote the space of Mellin integrable functionals by $\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$.¹¹

Since we don't have a definition of Mellin transform for generic locally compact topological groups, we have first defined the *functional* Mellin transform. Then, according to Definition 3.1, necessary conditions for the functional Mellin transform to exist are $f \in L^1(G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{C}^*)$ and $\|f(g^{1+\alpha})\|$ integrable precisely when $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}$. This will supply us with a Mellin transform that extends the usual definition to the case of Banach-valued integrals over locally compact topological groups:

Definition 4.2 Let $f \in L^1(G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{C}^*)$ such that $\|f(g^{1+\alpha})\|$ is integrable for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{C}$, and let $\rho : G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow M(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ be a continuous injective homomorphism. Then f is Mellin integrable since

$$\|\mathcal{M}[f(g_\lambda); \alpha]\| = \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} \|f(g_\lambda)\rho(g_\lambda^\alpha)\| d\nu(g_\lambda) < \infty \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}. \quad (21)$$

We say the Mellin transform $\tilde{f}(\alpha) := \mathcal{M}[f(g_\lambda); \alpha]$ exists in the fundamental region $\mathbb{S}$.

Identifying the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\mathfrak{L}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$, the Mellin integral can be explicitly formulated as

$$\int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} f(g_\lambda)\rho(g_\lambda^\alpha) d\nu(g_\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{L}(G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}})} f(\exp_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{g}))\rho(\exp_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\alpha\mathfrak{g})) |\det d_{\mathfrak{g}} \exp_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{g})| d\mathfrak{g}. \quad (22)$$

Roughly speaking, the functional Mellin transform is a family of integrals represented by the right-hand side of (22) which can be interpreted as a generalized Laplace transform.

Stating the definitions is relatively easy: the hard work involves determining $\mathbb{S}$ given f , ρ and λ . To emphasize that the fundamental region depends on λ , we will sometimes write $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$. For example, let $\mathfrak{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda : G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ the strictly positive reals. Choose the standard

¹⁰This prescription is for left-invariant Haar measures. For right-invariant Haar measures impose equivariance under left-translations.

¹¹The class of functional Mellin transforms defined here includes the crossed products of [6] as a special case. To relate crossed products to functional Mellin transforms, require $\pi \circ \rho$ to be a strongly continuous unitary representation $U : G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow L_B(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\pi(f(g_\lambda h_\lambda)) = \pi(f(g_\lambda)\rho(h_\lambda)) = \pi(f(g_\lambda))U(h_\lambda)$ and the crossed product $\pi \rtimes U(F \circ \lambda)$ is equivalent to $\pi(\mathcal{M}_\lambda[F; 1])$.

normalization for the Haar measure $\nu(g_\lambda) = \log(g_\lambda)$. Then $\mathcal{M}_\lambda[F; \alpha]$ reduces to the usual finite-dimensional Mellin transform for suitable f (see appendix A);

$$\mathcal{M}_H[F; \alpha] = \int_0^\infty f(x) x^\alpha \frac{dx}{x} = \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{\alpha-1} dx \quad \alpha \in \langle a, b \rangle_H \equiv \mathbb{S}_\lambda \quad (23)$$

where the subscript H indicates the normalized Haar measure.

Example 4.1 *For a less trivial example, consider the Mellin transform of the heat kernel of a free particle on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this context, $\mathfrak{C}^* = \mathbb{R}$ and F is the heat kernel $F(g) \equiv e^{-\Gamma(g)}$ with the ‘effective action’ functional $\Gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by*

$$\Gamma(g) = \frac{\pi |x_{a'} - x_a|^2}{g} + \frac{n}{2} \log g. \quad (24)$$

The degrees of freedom associated with a free particle are encoded by a continuous map $x : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which dictates $\lambda : G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. With the choice of the usual Haar normalization, $\mathcal{M}_H[E^{-\Gamma}; 1]$ is the elementary kernel of the Laplacian Δ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ associated with point-to-point boundary conditions. Consequently, the elementary kernel of the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}(x_a, x_{a'}) &:= \mathcal{M}_H[E^{-\Gamma}; 1] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \exp\left\{\frac{-\pi |x_{a'} - x_a|^2}{g}\right\} g^{-n/2} dg \\ &= \begin{cases} -2 \log |x_{a'} - x_a| & n = 2 \\ \pi^{1-n/2} \Gamma(n/2 - 1) |x_{a'} - x_a|^{2-n} & n \neq 2 \end{cases} \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

Evidently functional Mellin has application in quantum mechanics as this example shows.

Now, define a norm on $\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$ by $\|F\| := \sup_\alpha \|F\|_\alpha$ where

$$\|F\|_\alpha := \sup_\lambda \|\mathcal{M}[f(g_\lambda); \alpha]\| < \infty, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}. \quad (26)$$

Assume that $\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$ can be completed w.r.t. this (or some other suitably defined) norm. Not surprisingly, $\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$ inherits properties and algebraic structure from $\mathbf{F}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 4.1 *If $F \in \mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda^\pi[F; \alpha] := \pi(\mathcal{M}_\lambda[F; \alpha]) = \mathcal{M}[\pi(f(g_\lambda)); \alpha] \quad (27)$$

Proof: By definition, $F \in \mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$ implies f is Mellin integrable for some $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mathcal{M}_\lambda[F; \alpha]) &= \pi\left(\int_{G_A^\mathbb{C}} F(gg^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g\right) \\ &= \pi\left(\int_{G_{A,\lambda}^\mathbb{C}} f(g_\lambda g_\lambda^\alpha) d\nu(g_\lambda)\right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda \\ &= \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^\mathbb{C}} \pi(f(g_\lambda g_\lambda^\alpha)) d\nu(g_\lambda), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and the third line follows from Proposition 2.3. $\square$

Proposition 4.2 $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbb{S}}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra with $\|\mathbf{F}^*\|_{\alpha} = \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\alpha}$ when endowed with an involution defined by $\mathbf{F}^*(g^{1+\alpha}) := \mathbf{F}(g^{-1-\alpha})^* \Delta(g^{-1})$ and suitable topology.

Proof: Linearity and $(\mathbf{F}^*)^* = \mathbf{F}$ are obvious. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{F}_1^* * \mathbf{F}_2^*)_{\lambda}(g^{1+\alpha}) &:= \int_{G_{\lambda}} f_1^*(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}) f_2^*(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}^{-1} g_{\lambda}^{1+\alpha}) d\nu(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}) \\
&= \int_{G_{\lambda}} (f_2(g_{\lambda}^{-1-\alpha} \tilde{g}_{\lambda}) \Delta(g_{\lambda}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{\lambda}) f_1(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}^{-1}) \Delta(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}^{-1}))^* d\nu(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}) \\
&= \left(\int_{G_{\lambda}} f_2(g_{\lambda}^{-1-\alpha} \tilde{g}_{\lambda}) f_1(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}^{-1}) d\nu(\tilde{g}_{\lambda}) \right)^* \Delta(g_{\lambda}^{-1}) \\
&= ((\mathbf{F}_2 * \mathbf{F}_1)_{\lambda}(g^{-1-\alpha}))^* \Delta(g^{-1}) \\
&= (\mathbf{F}_2 * \mathbf{F}_1)_{\lambda}^*(g^{1+\alpha})
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where we used left-invariance of the Haar measure to put $\tilde{g}_{\lambda} \rightarrow g_{\lambda}^{1+\alpha} \tilde{g}_{\lambda}$ in the fourth line.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{F}^*\|_{\alpha} &:= \sum_{\lambda} \int_G \|\mathbf{F}^*(g^{1+\alpha})\| \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} g \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} \int_G \|\rho(g^{-\alpha})^* \mathbf{F}(g^{-1})^* \Delta(g^{-1})\| \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} g \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} \int_G \|\rho(g^{\alpha}) \mathbf{F}(g^1)\| \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} g \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} \int_G \|\mathbf{F}(g^{1+\alpha})\| \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} g \\
&= \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

□

More importantly, the functional Mellin transform inherits some useful properties from int_{Λ} that follow from Theorem 2.3, Definition 3.1, and equivariance. First note that if $\alpha = 0 \in \mathbb{S}$ then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \text{int}_{\lambda}$, so we will not consider this case any longer.

Proposition 4.3 If $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbb{S}}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^*[\mathbf{F}; \alpha] := (\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}[\mathbf{F}; \alpha])^* = \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}[\mathbf{F}^*; \alpha] \tag{31}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} (F(gg^\alpha)) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \right)^* &= \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} (f(g_\lambda g_\lambda^\alpha))^* d\nu(g_\lambda) \\
&= \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} \rho(g_\lambda^\alpha)^* (f(g_\lambda))^* d\nu(g_\lambda) \\
&= \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} \rho(g_\lambda^{-\alpha})^* f(g_\lambda^{-1})^* \Delta(g_\lambda^{-1}) d\nu(g_\lambda) \\
&= \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} F^*(gg^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where we used $f^*(g_\lambda g_\lambda^\alpha) = \rho(g_\lambda^{-\alpha})^* f(g_\lambda^{-1})^* \Delta(g_\lambda^{-1}) = \rho(g_\lambda^{-\alpha})^* f^*(g_\lambda)$. $\square$

Under certain conditions, $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is a $*$ -homomorphism:

Proposition 4.4 *If $\mathfrak{C}^*$ is commutative, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [(F_1 * F_2)_\lambda; \alpha] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [F_1; \alpha] \mathcal{M}_\lambda [F_2; \alpha] \tag{33}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [(F_1 \star F_2)_\lambda; \alpha] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [F_1; \alpha] \mathcal{M}_\lambda [F_2; 1 - \alpha] . \tag{34}$$

Proof: For $\mathfrak{C}^*$ commutative,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho((gh)^\alpha) = e^{\alpha \rho'(\log g + \log h)} &= e^{\alpha \rho'(\mathfrak{g}) + \alpha \rho'(\mathfrak{h})} \\
&= e^{\alpha \rho'(\mathfrak{g})} e^{\alpha \rho'(\mathfrak{h})} = \rho(g^\alpha) \rho(h^\alpha) .
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Now take the functional Mellin transform of the $*$ -convolution and put $g \rightarrow \tilde{g}g$. The functional integral is invariant under this transformation by virtue of the left-invariant Haar measure,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_\lambda [(F_1 * F_2); \alpha] &= \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \times G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} F_1(\tilde{g}) F_2(g(\tilde{g}g)^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda \tilde{g} \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\
&= \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}} \times G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} f_1(\tilde{g}_\lambda) \rho(\tilde{g}_\lambda^\alpha) f_2(g_\lambda) \rho(g_\lambda^\alpha) d\nu_\lambda(\tilde{g}_\lambda) d\nu_\lambda(g_\lambda) \\
&= \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \times G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} F_1(\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^\alpha) F_2(gg^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda \tilde{g} \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\
&= \mathcal{M}_\lambda [F_1; \alpha] \mathcal{M}_\lambda [F_2; \alpha]
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where the last equality follows from functional Fubini which follows from Theorem 2.3 and Definition 3.1. The proof for the $\star$ -convolution follows similarly. $\square$

Corollary 4.1 *If $\mathfrak{C}^*$ is commutative, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [|\mathbf{F}|_\lambda^2; \alpha] = |\mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}; \alpha]|^2 \quad (37)$$

where $|\mathbf{F}|_\lambda^2 := (\mathbf{F} * \mathbf{F}^*)_\lambda$ and $|\mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}; \alpha]|^2 = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}; \alpha] \mathcal{M}_\lambda^* [\mathbf{F}; \alpha]$.

Likewise, if $\Re(\alpha) = 1/2$ and $\mathfrak{C}^*$ is commutative, then

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [|\mathbf{F}|_\lambda^2; \alpha] = [\mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}; \alpha]]^2 \quad (38)$$

where $|\mathbf{F}|_\lambda^2 := (\mathbf{F} \star \mathbf{F}^*)_\lambda$ and $[\mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}; \alpha]]^2 := \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}; \alpha] \mathcal{M}_\lambda^* [\mathbf{F}; \alpha^*]$.

Evidently these characterize functional Plancherel-type relationships.

Proposition 4.5 *If $\mathfrak{C}^*$ is non-commutative, but $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ is abelian and ρ is real, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [(\mathbf{F}_1 * \mathbf{F}_2)_\lambda; \alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_1; \alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}] \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_2; \alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}] \quad (39)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [(\mathbf{F}_1 \star \mathbf{F}_2)_\lambda; \alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_1; \alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}] \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_2; 1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}] \quad (40)$$

where $\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}} = -\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}^*$, i.e. $\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}} \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Proof: If $G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is abelian,

$$\rho((gh)^\alpha) = \rho(e^{\alpha(\log g + \log h)}) = \rho(e^{\alpha \log g} e^{\alpha \log h}) = \rho(g^\alpha) \rho(h^\alpha) = \rho(h^\alpha) \rho(g^\alpha). \quad (41)$$

Since ρ is assumed real, $\rho'(\mathfrak{g}) = \rho'(\log_G g)$ is Hermitian and $\rho(g^{-\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}})^* = e^{-\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}^* \rho'(\mathfrak{g})^*} = \rho(g^{\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}})$. Clearly, if instead ρ is assumed unitary then $\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}$ gets replaced by $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \times G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbf{F}_1(\tilde{g})(\mathbf{F}_2^*)^*(g(\tilde{g}g)^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda \tilde{g} \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\ = \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}} \times G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} f_1(\tilde{g}_\lambda) \rho(\tilde{g}_\lambda^\alpha) \rho(g_\lambda^{-\alpha})^* (f_2^*)^*(g_\lambda) d\nu_\lambda(\tilde{g}_\lambda) d\nu_\lambda(g_\lambda) \\ = \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \times G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbf{F}_1(\tilde{g} \tilde{g}^\alpha) \mathbf{F}_2(gg^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda \tilde{g} \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where the second line follows from $\rho(g^{-\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}})^* = \rho(g^{\alpha_{\mathfrak{S}}})$. Again, the $\star$ -convolution result follows similarly. $\square$

An easy observation; if $\rho(g)$ is in the center of $\mathfrak{C}^*$ then there is no restriction on $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}$.

Finally, for the most general case of non-commutative $\mathfrak{C}^*$ and non-abelian $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$, we must restrict to $\alpha = 1$ to get an algebra representation:

Proposition 4.6 *If $\mathfrak{C}^*$ is non-commutative and $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ is non-abelian, but ρ is unitary, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [(\mathbf{F}_1 * \mathbf{F}_2)_\lambda; 1] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_1; 1] \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_2; 1] . \quad (43)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda [(\mathbf{F}_1 \star \mathbf{F}_2)_\lambda; 1] = \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_1; 1] \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\mathbf{F}_2; 0] . \quad (44)$$

Proof: Obviously $\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h)$; then follow the previous argument. $\square$

It is convenient to denote by $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^{(\alpha)} := \pi(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ functional Mellin under the various conditions that render $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ a $*$ -homomorphism; and $\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C}))$ its corresponding image when completed w.r.t. the norm $\|F\| := \sup_\alpha \|F\|_\alpha$.

Corollary 4.2 $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ is a $*$ -representation on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C}))$ is a $*$ -algebra.

Proof: $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ is a $*$ -representation and its completed image is a $*$ -algebra because of proposition 4.1, the fact that $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, and $\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra. $\square$ For example, if $\mathfrak{C}^*$ is non-commutative and unital, then $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^{(\alpha_\mathbb{R})}$ denotes a functional Mellin $*$ -representation for an abelian group and unitary ρ , and $\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha_\mathbb{R})}(\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C}))$ is a C^* -algebra. Similarly, $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^{(1)}$ is a $*$ -representation for non-commutative $\mathfrak{C}^*$ and non-abelian $G_A^\mathbb{C}$. In fact, $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^{(1)}$ is a crossed product.

These inherited properties of the functional Mellin transform (at least partially) explain the utility of the resulting integrals in probing the local structure of linear Lie groups $G_A^\mathbb{C}$ and $*$ -algebras $\mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$. More importantly, (and this is the main theme of this paper) since it is not merely a restatement of Fourier transform it provides an independent tool to investigate these spaces. The following subsection is exemplary.

4.2 Mellin functional tools

Before extracting useful tools from the functional Mellin transform, it is a good idea to gain some experience and insight by analyzing its reduction to finite integrals under various conditions. Appendix B contains several examples. They suggest how to define Mellin functional counterparts of resolvents, traces, logarithms, and determinants.

From now on to clean up notation, no distinction will be made between g , g_λ , and $\rho(g_\lambda)$ when it will not cause confusion.

4.2.1 Functional resolvent

Analysis of the functional exponential in Appendix B suggests a resolvent operator can be represented by a functional Mellin transform:

Definition 4.3 Let $\beta' \in \text{Mor}_C(G_A^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{C}^*)$ such that $E^{-\beta'} \in \mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$. The functional resolvent of β' is defined by

$$R_{\alpha, \beta'_\lambda}(z) := \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-(\beta' - zId)}; \alpha \right]. \quad (45)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

For a quick example, let $\mathfrak{C}^* = L_B(L^2(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and take $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{C}^*$ so that $G_A^\mathbb{C}$ is the group of units of $\mathfrak{C}^*$. Suppose $\beta'(g) = \beta g$ with $\log \beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that β is invertible and positive-definite. Let $\lambda : G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})$; the real one-parameter subgroup generated by $\log \beta$. The functional resolvent in this case reduces to

$$R_{\alpha, \beta'_\Gamma}(z) = \int_{\phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})} e^{-(\beta - zId)g} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) = (\beta - zId)_\Gamma^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_\Gamma. \quad (46)$$

To see this, note that, being invertible, $\beta \in G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\log \beta \in \mathfrak{G}_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}$. So $\beta - zId$ commutes with all $g \in \phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})$ and can be extracted from the integral. The remaining integral is a normalization we absorb into the measure (see Appendix B).

This example is a significant simplification because β' is linear on $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a rather drastic reduction to a one-dimensional abelian subgroup.¹² In the generic case, it is much harder to exhibit a closed form for $R_{\alpha,\beta'_\lambda}(z)$ (if not impossible).

Specializing the functional resolvent affords a definition of the complex power of elements in the multiplier algebra $M(\mathfrak{C}^*)$:

Definition 4.4 *The functional complex power of β' is defined by*

$$\beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda := (\beta'^{-\alpha})_\lambda := R_{\alpha,\beta'_\lambda}(0) = \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] . \quad (48)$$

Note that the λ dependence of $\beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda$ refers to both the normalization *and* the averaging group G_λ .¹³ Functional complex powers will play a central role in the next subsection.

Definition 4.3 and the characterization of delta functionals in [1], suggest that, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, the functional complex power of β'_λ implicitly includes derivatives of Dirac delta functionals if it has a non-trivial kernel, i.e. $z = 0 \in \sigma(\beta'_\lambda)$. If on the other hand β'_λ has no non-trivial kernel, then we can define a functional zeta:

Definition 4.5 *Suppose $\beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda$ is trace class with $0 \notin \sigma(\beta'_\lambda)$. The functional zeta is defined by*

$$\zeta_{\beta'_\lambda}(\alpha) := \text{tr} \left(\beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda \right) = \text{tr} \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] . \quad (49)$$

Of course this trace is not always a well-defined object for all α in the fundamental region of $\beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda$. Rather, the fundamental region containing α is dictated by λ and is often restricted. An effective strategy to quantify the restriction is to move the trace inside the integral. Presumably the trace of the integrand will be well-defined for certain choices of λ and the properties of the functional Mellin transform will allow the domain of α to be determined. This strategy leads us to the next subsection.

4.2.2 Functional trace, logarithm, and determinant

Definition 4.6 *Let $\beta' \in \text{Mor}_C(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{A})$ with $E^{-\beta'} \in \mathbf{F}_S(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$ for some λ -dependent fundamental region $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$. Require $\rho = \text{ch}$ to be a multiplicative character. Define the functional*

¹²When β' is linear on $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ it is useful to generalize for any $\mathfrak{C}^*$ the delta functional defined in [1] and to formally write (under appropriate conditions on β')

$$R_{\alpha,\beta'_\lambda}(z) = \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{(\beta' - zId')_\lambda^\alpha} - \pi i \delta^{(\alpha-1)'}(\beta' - zId')_\lambda . \quad (47)$$

Accordingly, $\text{p.v.}(\beta' - zId')_\lambda^{-1}$ and $\delta(\beta' - zId')_\lambda$ correspond to the functional resolvent set and functional spectrum respectively. The appearance of distributions here motivates extending the theory of Mellin transforms of distributions (briefly outlined in the appendix) to the functional context, but this will be left to future work.

¹³ We stress that the functional form of $\beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda$ will not resemble the functional form of β' in general.

trace of β' by

$$\mathrm{Tr} \beta'^{-\alpha} := (\mathrm{Tr} \beta'^{-\alpha})_\lambda := \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\mathrm{Tr} E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] := \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} \mathrm{tr} \left(e^{-\beta'(g)} \right) \mathrm{ch}(g^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g. \quad (50)$$

Remark that, as a consequence of Theorem 2.3, the interchange of the ordinary trace and functional integral is valid *only for* $E^{-\beta'} \in \mathbf{F}_S(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$ and appropriate λ . Then according to the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr} (\beta'^{-\alpha}) &= \mathrm{tr} \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] \\ &= \mathrm{tr} \left(\int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\beta'(g)} \rho(g^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \right), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda \\ &= \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} \mathrm{tr} \left(e^{-\beta'(g)} \right) \mathrm{ch}(g^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g, \quad \forall \alpha \in \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_\lambda \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\mathrm{Tr} E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right], \quad \forall \alpha \in \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Evidently, when ρ is a character the functional trace and ordinary trace possess the same functional form. But the fundamental region of the functional trace depends on the chosen normalization, and taking the ordinary trace inside the integral *often* requires a restriction on the fundamental region of $\mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\mathrm{Tr} E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right]$. The point is we can turn the calculation around and (with appropriate normalization/regularization) give meaning to the object $\mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\mathrm{Tr} E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right]$ through the ordinary trace and an adjustment to $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$.

In particular, the functional zeta can be represented as

$$\zeta_{\beta'}(\alpha) \equiv \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\mathrm{Tr} E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] \quad (52)$$

but only for appropriate λ and α . For example,

Example 4.2 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space. Suppose $M \in \mathfrak{A} = L_B(\mathcal{H})$ is self-adjoint with $\sigma(M) = \mathbb{N}_+$, and let $\{|i\rangle, \varepsilon_i\}$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, \infty\}$ denote the set of orthonormal eigenvectors and associated eigenvalues of M . Choose $\lambda : G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and ρ such that $g \mapsto g \cdot \mathrm{Id}$. The Riemann zeta function associated with M can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{M_\Gamma}(\alpha) &= \mathrm{tr} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-Mg} \rho(g^\alpha) d\nu(g_\Gamma) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\varepsilon_i g + \alpha(\log g)} \langle i|i \rangle d\nu(g_\Gamma) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon_i g + \alpha(\log g)} d\nu(g_\Gamma), \quad \alpha \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle_\Gamma \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^g - 1} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma), \quad \alpha \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle_\Gamma \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\Gamma \left[\mathrm{Tr} E^{-M'}; \alpha \right], \quad \alpha \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle_\Gamma \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where $\nu(g_\Gamma) := \nu(g)/\Gamma(\alpha)$. Note that the integral in the first line is valid for $\alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, so exchanging summation and integration here comes with the price of restricting the fundamental strip.

If instead $G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a smooth contour in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, this has the well-known representation

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{M_{\Gamma_C}}(\alpha) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{e^g - 1} g^\alpha d\nu(g_{\Gamma_C}), \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle \setminus \{1\}_{\Gamma_C} \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma_C} \left[\text{Tr } E^{-M'}; \alpha \right], \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle \setminus \{1\}_{\Gamma_C}\end{aligned}\tag{54}$$

where $\nu(g_{\Gamma_C}) := \frac{\pi \csc(\pi\alpha)}{2\pi i} \nu(g)/\Gamma(\alpha)$ and the contour starts at $+\infty$ just above the real axis, passes around the origin counter-clockwise, and then continues back to $+\infty$ just below the real axis.¹⁴ This is an explicit illustration of the fact that $\mathbb{S}$ depends on λ through both the normalization and the averaging group.

Example 4.3 Again for $M \in L_B(\mathcal{H})$ with $M = M^*$ and $\sigma(M) = \mathbb{N}_+$, the Dirichlet eta function associated with M is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{M_\Gamma}(\alpha) &= \text{tr} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (-e^{-M(g+i\pi)} \rho(g^\alpha)) d\nu(g_\Gamma) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon_i(g+i\pi)+\alpha(\log g)} d\nu(g_\Gamma), \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_\Gamma \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^g + 1} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma), \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_\Gamma \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\Gamma \left[\text{Tr } E^{-M'_\eta}; \alpha \right], \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_\Gamma \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma_\eta} \left[\text{Tr } E^{-M'}; \alpha \right], \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_{\Gamma_\eta}\end{aligned}\tag{55}$$

where $M'_\eta(g) = M\rho(g+i\pi)$ and $\nu(g_{\Gamma_\eta}) := (1 - 2^{1-\alpha})\nu(g)/\Gamma(\alpha)$. Going from the first to second line uses the fact that $\sum \int e^{-\varepsilon_i g} g^\alpha d\nu(g)$ converges for $\alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$.

Notice that the same functional $\text{Tr } E^{-M'}$ corresponds to different objects depending on the choice of λ .

Continuing with this strategy, define the functional logarithm:

Definition 4.7 Let $\beta' \in \text{Mor}(G_A^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{A})$. Suppose that $E^{-\beta'} \in \mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$ for some fundamental region $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$. The functional logarithm of β' is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Log } \beta'^{-1}_\lambda &:= (\text{Log } \beta'^{-1})_\lambda := \int_{G_A^\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta'(g)} \log(g) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\ &:= \left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] \right|_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+}\end{aligned}\tag{56}$$

if the limit exists.

¹⁴Of course $\pi \csc(\pi\alpha)/\Gamma(\alpha)$ can be analytically continued to the left-half plane thus obtaining a meromorphic representation of Riemann zeta.

Notice that $(\text{Log}(\mathbf{E}^{-\beta'})^{-1})_\lambda = (\text{Log}(\mathbf{E}^{\beta'}))_\lambda = \beta'_\lambda$ for linear β' .

Example 4.4 *In particular let $\beta'(g) = \beta g$ with β invertible, positive-definite, and suppose $G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathfrak{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$. Choose the gamma normalization to get*

$$\text{Log } \beta'^{-1}_\Gamma = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\beta g} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) = -\log \beta. \quad (57)$$

Functional Log can be extended to other $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_+$ by choosing $\nu(g_{\Gamma_\alpha}) := \Gamma(\alpha+1)\nu(g_\Gamma)/\Gamma(\alpha)$ to get

$$\text{Log } \beta'^{-\alpha}_\Gamma := \text{Log } \beta'^{-1}_{\Gamma_\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\beta'(g)} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+}, \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_{\Gamma_\alpha}. \quad (58)$$

This yields the expected behavior for exponents when $\beta'(g) = \beta g$. However, functional Log does not seem as useful as the functional trace and determinant, because its complex extension is simply determined by a normalization.

The final component of our triad is the functional determinant of a complex power which will be defined in terms of the functional trace:

Definition 4.8 *Let $\beta' \in \text{Mor}(G_A^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{A})$ with $\beta'(g)$ trace class and $0 \notin \sigma(\beta'_\lambda)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{E}^{-\text{Tr } \beta'} \in \mathbf{F}_\mathbb{S}(G_A^\mathbb{C})$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$. Define the functional determinant of β' by*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det } \beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda &:= (\text{Det } \beta'^{-\alpha})_\lambda := \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\text{Det } \mathbf{E}^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] := \int_{G_A^\mathbb{C}} \det \left(e^{-\beta'(g)} g^\alpha \right) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\ &= \int_{G_A^\mathbb{C}} e^{-\text{tr}(\beta'(g))} \det(g^\alpha) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\ &=: \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\mathbf{E}^{-\text{Tr } \beta'}; \alpha \right] \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where $\rho : G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g \mapsto \det(g^\alpha) := e^{\alpha \text{tr}(g)}$ and the trace is with respect to $\mathfrak{A}$.

As with the functional trace and logarithm, the determinant essentially can be ‘taken outside the integral’ only for special choices of λ for which the trace in the integrand is well-defined given a particular representation. It is important to realize that, *at the functional level*,

Proposition 4.7 *If $\beta'_1(g)$ and $\beta'_2(g)$ are trace class, then*

$$\text{Det}(\beta'_1 * \beta'_2)_\lambda^{-\alpha} = \text{Det} \beta'_{1\lambda}{}^{-\alpha} \text{Det} \beta'_{2\lambda}{}^{-\alpha}. \quad (60)$$

Consequently, the functional determinant possesses the multiplicative property if the pertinent functional determinants have critical strips containing $\alpha = -1$.

Proof: Since in this case $\mathfrak{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$ is commutative, the result follows from the definition of the functional determinant and Proposition 4.4. $\square$

However, *at the function level* the determinant *may not* have the multiplicative property with respect to α . Indeed, there may not exist a consistent choice of λ that renders both $\beta'_{1\lambda}$ and $\beta'_{2\lambda}$ simultaneously analytic at $\alpha = -1$; even if their convolution is analytic there. Moreover, if such a λ does exist, the localization implicit in λ that achieves the reduction $\text{Det} \rightarrow \det$ may introduce a “multiplicative anomaly”¹⁵:

Proposition 4.8 *Suppose $\beta'(g) = \beta g$ with $\log \beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that β is invertible and βg is trace class. Then,*

$$\text{Det} \beta'^{-\alpha}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(\alpha) (\det \beta^{-1})^{\alpha} e^{i\varphi_{\beta}(\alpha)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\lambda} \quad (61)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ is a λ -dependent normalization.

Proof: Using the invariance of the Haar measure with $g \rightarrow \beta^{-1}g$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det} \beta'^{-\alpha}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \left[\text{Det } E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] &= \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\text{tr}(g)} \det[(\beta^{-1}g)^{\alpha}] d\nu(g_{\lambda}) \\ &= \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\text{tr } g} (\det(\beta^{-1}g))^{\alpha} e^{i\varphi_{\beta,g}(\alpha)} d\nu(g_{\lambda}) \\ &= (\det \beta^{-1})^{\alpha} e^{i\varphi_{\beta}(\alpha)} \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\text{tr } g} \det g^{\alpha} d\nu(g_{\lambda}) \\ &=: (\det \beta^{-1})^{\alpha} e^{i\varphi_{\beta}(\alpha)} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where $\varphi_{\beta}(\alpha) = \alpha(\arg \beta^{-1} + 2n\pi)$. $\square$

The normalization $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(\alpha) := \text{Det } Id'_{\lambda}{}^{-\alpha}$ requires scrutiny. The definition of functional determinant assumes $\beta'(g)$ is trace class. However, $Id'(g) = g$ will not be trace class for generic $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$. If it is not, then we regulate $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ with a positive-definite invertible fixed element $R \in G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that Rg is trace class and $e^{-\text{tr}(Rg)} \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathbb{S}}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$. Let $\mathcal{H}_A$ furnish a representation π of $\mathfrak{A}$. Pick a basis in $\mathcal{H}_A$ for which R is diagonal. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(R; \alpha) := \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\text{tr}_{\pi}(Rg)} \det_{\pi} g^{\alpha} d\nu(g_{\lambda}) = \prod_{i=1}^d r_i^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}. \quad (63)$$

where $\dim(\mathcal{H}_A) = d$. Even if $d = \infty$, this product can be rendered finite and well-defined if a suitable regulator R exists.

On the other hand, we can simply choose the normalization/regularization associated with the choice of λ to set $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(R; \alpha) = 1$ — which amounts to formally dividing out this factor

¹⁵If one chooses zeta function regularization to effect the reduction $\text{Det} \rightarrow \det$, it is well-known that a non-vanishing Wodzicki residue at $\alpha = 0$ leads to a multiplicative anomaly.

from the functional determinant (similarly to what is done with $\Gamma(\alpha)$). The corresponding regularized functional determinant of an operator $\pi(O)$ can then be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\text{Det}}_\pi O'^{-\alpha}_\lambda &:= \frac{\text{Det}_\pi O_{\lambda,R}^{-\alpha}}{\mathcal{N}_\lambda(R; \alpha)} \\
&:= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_\lambda(R; \alpha)} \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\text{tr}_\pi(ROR^{-1}g)} \det_\pi g^\alpha d\nu(g_\lambda) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_\lambda(R; \alpha)} \left(\frac{\det_\pi O^{-\alpha}}{\det_\pi R^{-\alpha}} \right) \int_{G_{A,\lambda}^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\text{tr}_\pi(Rg)} \det_\pi g^\alpha d\nu(g_\lambda) \\
&= e^{i\varphi_{O,R}(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\det_\pi O^{-1}}{\det_\pi R^{-1}} \right)^\alpha \\
&=: \overline{\det}_\pi(O^{-\alpha}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

In essence, the functional determinant is formally regularized by dividing out the possibly infinite factor $\mathcal{N}_\lambda(\alpha)$. This is common practice: One knows how $\pi(R)$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_A$ and then defines the regularized determinant of $\pi(\beta)$ by $\overline{\text{Det}}_\pi \beta'_\Gamma := \text{Det}_\pi \beta'_\Gamma / \text{Det}_\pi R'_\Gamma = \det_\pi(\beta) / \det_\pi(R)$.

So in particular, if $\arg \beta^{-1} = 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ and $\alpha = 1 \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$, then

$$\overline{\text{Det}}_\pi \beta'^{-1}_\lambda \overline{\text{Det}}_\pi \beta'^{-1}_\lambda = \overline{\text{Det}}_\pi \beta'^{-2}_\lambda = (\det_\pi \beta)^{-2} \tag{65}$$

and the regularized determinant enjoys the usual multiplicative property. On the other hand, if $\arg \beta^{-1} \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ a multiplicative anomaly obtains.

4.3 Commuting Mellin and the exponential

The fundamental relationship between exponentials, determinants, and traces in finite dimensions, i.e. $\exp \text{tr} M = \det \exp M$, also characterizes the functional analogs. In order to relate functional trace and determinant, consider $\beta' = -\text{Log} F'$. Then formally, for appropriate F' and choice of λ ,

$$e^{\text{Tr Log } F'^{-1}_\lambda} = e^{\int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} \text{tr} \not{E}^{-F'(g)} \mathcal{D}_\lambda g} \sim \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\text{tr} F'(g)} \det g \mathcal{D}_\lambda g = \text{Det} F'^{-1}_\lambda . \tag{66}$$

This important relation represents a deep connection between Poisson processes and functional Mellin transforms/gamma integrators (as indicated for example in [1]). It is a particular case of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\beta' \in \text{Mor}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{A})$ by $g \mapsto \beta g$ with $0 \notin \sigma(\beta)$ and $F' \in \text{Mor}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{A})$ by $g \mapsto e^{-\beta} g$ with $e^{-\beta} \in G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$.¹⁶ Assume that $\text{Tr } E^{-\beta'} \in \text{F}_s(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$ and $E^{-\text{Tr } F'} \in \text{F}_s(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$ for a common domain $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$. Then*

$$e^{-\text{Tr } \beta'^{-\alpha}_\lambda} = \text{Det}(E^{-\beta'})^{-\alpha}_\lambda , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda . \tag{67}$$

¹⁶Recall that if $F' = E^{-\beta'}$ is linear then $(\text{Log } F')(g) = (\text{Log } E^{-\beta'})(g) = -\beta'(g) = -\beta g = -\log(e^\beta)g$.

Proof: First, recall that an immediate consequence of the definitions and the relationship between $(\exp \operatorname{tr})$ and $(\det \exp)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\operatorname{Det} E^{-F'}; \alpha \right] &= \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} \det \left(e^{-F'(g)} g^\alpha \right) \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\ &= \int_{G_A^{\mathbb{C}}} e^{-\operatorname{tr} F'(g)} \det g^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\operatorname{Tr} F'}; \alpha \right]\end{aligned}\tag{68}$$

where the second line follows as soon as $F'(g)$ is trace class.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\operatorname{Tr} F' \in \operatorname{Mor}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose the functional Mellin transforms of $\operatorname{Tr} F'$ and $E^{-\operatorname{Tr} F'}$ exist for common $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$ for a given λ . Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\operatorname{Tr} F'}; \alpha \right] = e^{-\mathcal{M}_\lambda[\operatorname{Tr} F'; \alpha]}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda .\tag{69}$$

proof: Since the Mellin transform of $\operatorname{Tr} F'$ exists by assumption, then $e^{-\mathcal{M}_\lambda[\operatorname{Tr} F'; \alpha]}$ represents an absolutely convergent series for $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\mathcal{M}_\lambda[\operatorname{Tr} F'; \alpha]} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \mathcal{M}_\lambda [\operatorname{Tr} F'; \alpha]^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \mathcal{M}_\lambda [(\operatorname{Tr} F')^n; \alpha] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (\operatorname{Tr} F')^n; \alpha \right] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\operatorname{Tr} F'}; \alpha \right]\end{aligned}\tag{70}$$

where moving the power of n into the functional Mellin transform in the first line follows from induction on Proposition 4.4 because the multiplication represented by $(\operatorname{Tr} F')^n$ is the $*$ -convolution and $\operatorname{Tr} F'(g) \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{C}^*$ is commutative. Equality between the first and second lines follows from the absolute convergence of $e^{-\mathcal{M}_\lambda[\operatorname{Tr} F'; \alpha]}$, the existence of the integral $\mathcal{M}_\lambda [E^{-\operatorname{Tr} F'}; \alpha]$ for the common domain $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$, and the fact that $e^{-\operatorname{tr} F'(g)}$ is analytic.

It should be stressed that the equality in the lemma holds *only* for $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\lambda$ properly restricted. $\square$

Corollary 4.3 *Under the conditions of Lemma 4.1, replace $\operatorname{Tr} F' \in \operatorname{Mor}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$ with $V' \in \operatorname{Mor}(G_A^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{C}^*)$ where now $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ is abelian and $V'(g)$ is self-adjoint, then the lemma together with Proposition 4.5 imply*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-V'}; \alpha \right] = e^{-\mathcal{M}_\lambda[V'; \alpha]}, \quad \alpha \in i\mathbb{R} .\tag{71}$$

To finish the proof, put $F' \equiv E^{-\beta'}$ in the lemma and recall that $F'(g) = E^{-\beta'}(g) = e^{-\beta}g$ and $-\beta'(g) = (\text{Log}(E^{-\beta'}))(g) = -\beta g$ so

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\text{Tr } E^{-\beta'}}; \alpha \right] = \int_{G_A^\mathbb{C}} e^{-\text{tr}(e^{-\beta}g)} \det g^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\lambda g = \text{Det}(E^{-\beta'})_\lambda^{-\alpha} \quad (72)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[\text{Tr } E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] = \int_{G_A^\mathbb{C}} \text{tr}(e^{-\beta}g) \text{ch } g^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\lambda g = \text{Tr } \beta'_\lambda{}^{-\alpha}. \quad (73)$$

Hence

$$\text{Det}(E^{-\beta'})_\lambda^{-\alpha} = e^{-\text{Tr } \beta'_\lambda{}^{-\alpha}}. \quad (74)$$

□

If $E^{-\beta'}$ is self-adjoint and $G_A^\mathbb{C}$ abelian, then the corollary implies

$$(E^{-\beta'})_\lambda^{-\alpha} = \mathcal{M}_\lambda \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] = e^{-\mathcal{M}_\lambda[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha]} = e^{-\beta'_\lambda{}^{-\alpha}} \quad (75)$$

which leads to the remarkable property

$$e^{-\text{Tr } \beta'_\lambda{}^{-\alpha}} = \text{Det}(E^{-\beta'})_\lambda^{-\alpha} = \text{Det} \left[e^{-\beta'_\lambda{}^{-\alpha}} \right]_\lambda, \quad \alpha \in i\mathbb{R}. \quad (76)$$

It should perhaps be emphasized that, for appropriate choice of λ , Theorem 4.1 and the above property are derived from well-defined Haar integrals, and they should be interpreted in the spirit of Definition 3.1: That is, they are a family of statements at the functional level that *may* be explicitly realized for appropriate choices of λ .

A Mellin transforms

A.1 Basics

Analysis of the Mellin transform can be found in many references. Most of the following, which includes some non-standard aspects, can be found in [2] and [3].

Definition A.1 Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with limits $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(x^{-a})$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(x^{-b})$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the Mellin transform $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \langle a, b \rangle := (a, b) \times i\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha) := \mathcal{M}[f(x); \alpha] := \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{\alpha-1} dx. \quad (77)$$

The fundamental strip $\langle a, b \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}$ indicates the domain of convergence. Since, by definition,

$$f(x)|_{x \rightarrow 0^+} = \mathcal{O}(x^{-a}) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x)|_{x \rightarrow \infty} = \mathcal{O}(x^{-b}) \quad (78)$$

then $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ exists in $\langle a, b \rangle$ where it is holomorphic and absolutely convergent. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}(\alpha)| &\leq \int_0^1 |f(x)| x^{\Re\alpha-1} dx + \int_1^\infty |f(x)| x^{\Re\alpha-1} dx \\ &\leq M_1 \int_0^1 x^{\Re\alpha-1-a} dx + M_2 \int_1^\infty x^{\Re\alpha-1-b} dx \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

for some finite constants M_1, M_2 .

From the definition follows some important properties (for suitable fundamental strips);

$$\begin{aligned} c^{-\alpha} \tilde{f}(\alpha) &= \mathcal{M}[f(cx); \alpha] & c > 0 \\ \tilde{f}(\alpha + d) &= \mathcal{M}[x^d f(x); \alpha] & d > 0 \\ \frac{1}{|r|} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right) &= \mathcal{M}[f(x^r); \alpha] & r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \alpha \in \langle ra, rb \rangle \\ \frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} \tilde{f}(\alpha) &= \mathcal{M}[(\log x)^n f(x); \alpha] & n \in \mathbb{N} \\ -\alpha \tilde{f}(\alpha) &= \mathcal{M}\left[\left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x); \alpha\right] \\ -\tilde{f}(\alpha - 1) &= \mathcal{M}\left[\frac{d}{dx} f(x); \alpha\right] \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{f}(\alpha + 1) &= \mathcal{M}\left[\int_0^x f(x') dx'; \alpha\right] \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

The last three can be extended by iteration:

$$(-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tilde{f}(\alpha) = \mathcal{M}\left[\left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n f(x); \alpha\right] \quad (81)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x^{\alpha+n-m} f^{(n-m)}(x)|_0^\infty = 0 \ \forall m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$(-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha - n)} \tilde{f}(\alpha - n) = \mathcal{M}[f^{(n)}(x); \alpha] \quad (82)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x^{\alpha-n-1+m} f^{(n-m)}(x)|_0^\infty = 0 \ \forall m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and

$$(-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n)} \tilde{f}(\alpha + n) = \mathcal{M}\left[\left(\int_0^x f(x') dx'\right)^n; \alpha\right] \quad (83)$$

where $(\int_0^x f(x') dx')^n$ defines an iterated integral

$$\left(\int_0^x f(x') dx'\right)^n := \int_0^x \cdots \int_0^x f(x_n) \cdots f(x_1) dx_1 \cdots dx_n. \quad (84)$$

The last two relations show that (for functions with appropriate asymptotic conditions) the Mellin transforms of derivatives and integrals are symmetrical under $n \rightarrow -n$. Indeed,

this is the basis of the definition of fractional derivatives. This suggests an application to pseudo-differential symbols of the type $A(x, d/dx) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^n a_i(x) d^i/dx^i$.

The Mellin transform is directly related to the Fourier and (two-sided) Laplace transforms by

$$\mathcal{M}[f(x); \alpha] = \mathcal{F}[f(e^x); -i\alpha] = \mathcal{L}[f(e^{-x}); \alpha] . \quad (85)$$

From these relationships, the inverse Mellin transform can be deduced;

$$f(x) \stackrel{a.e.}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} x^{-\alpha} \tilde{f}(\alpha) d\alpha \quad (86)$$

where $c \in (a, b)$ (provided $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ is integrable along the path). The almost everywhere (a.e.) designation can be dropped if $f(x)$ is continuous. Moreover, if $f(x)$ is of bounded variation about x_0 , then

$$\frac{f(x_0^+) + f(x_0^-)}{2} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} x^{-\alpha} \tilde{f}(\alpha) d\alpha . \quad (87)$$

Using the inversion formula, the Parseval relation for the Mellin transform follows from

$$\int_0^\infty g(x) h(x) x^{\alpha-1} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{g}(\alpha') \tilde{h}(\alpha - \alpha') d\alpha' , \quad (88)$$

assuming the necessary conditions on $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ to allow for the interchange of integration order. In particular,

$$\mathcal{M}[g(x)h(x); 1] = \int_0^\infty g(x)h(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{g}(\alpha') \tilde{h}(1 - \alpha') d\alpha' . \quad (89)$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{M}[g(x) * h(x); \alpha] := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g(x') h\left(\frac{x}{x'}\right) x^{\alpha-1} \frac{dx'}{x'} dx = \tilde{g}(\alpha) \tilde{h}(\alpha) , \quad (90)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}[g(x) \star h(x); \alpha] := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g(xx') h(x') x^{\alpha-1} dx' dx = \tilde{g}(\alpha) \tilde{h}(1 - \alpha) . \quad (91)$$

A.2 Expansions

Definition A.2 *The singular expansion of a meromorphic function $f(b)$ with a finite set $\mathcal{P}$ of poles is a sum of its Laurent expansions to order $\mathcal{O}(b^0)$ about each pole, i.e.*

$$f(b) \asymp \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Laur}[f(b), \varepsilon; \mathcal{O}(b^0)] . \quad (92)$$

Theorem A.1 ([2]) *Let $f(x)$ have Mellin transform $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ in $\langle a, b \rangle$. Assume*

$$f(x)|_{x \rightarrow 0^+} = \sum_{\varepsilon, k} c_{\varepsilon, k} x^{\varepsilon} (\log x)^k + \mathcal{O}(x^M) \quad (93)$$

where $-M < -\varepsilon \leq a$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ can be continued to a meromorphic function in $\langle -M, b \rangle$ where it has the singular expansion

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha) \asymp \sum_{\varepsilon, k} c_{\varepsilon, k} \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(\alpha + \varepsilon)^{k+1}}. \quad (94)$$

Likewise, if

$$f(x)|_{x \rightarrow \infty} = \sum_{\varepsilon, k} c_{\varepsilon, k} x^{-\varepsilon} (\log x)^k + \mathcal{O}(x^{-M}) \quad (95)$$

where $b \leq \varepsilon < M$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ can be continued to a meromorphic function in $\langle a, M \rangle$ where it has the singular expansion

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha) \asymp \sum_{\varepsilon, k} c_{\varepsilon, k} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} k!}{(\alpha - \varepsilon)^{k+1}}. \quad (96)$$

More generally, it can be shown that for $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ meromorphic in $\langle -M, b \rangle$ (respectively $\langle a, M \rangle$) whose poles lie to the right (respectively left) of M , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)|_{x \rightarrow 0^+} &= \sum_{\varepsilon_k \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Res} \left[\tilde{f}(\alpha) x^{\alpha}, \alpha = \varepsilon_k \right] + \mathcal{O}(x^M) \\ f(x)|_{x \rightarrow \infty} &= - \sum_{\varepsilon_k \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Res} \left[\tilde{f}(\alpha) x^{-\alpha}, \alpha = \varepsilon_k \right] + \mathcal{O}(x^{-M}) \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

if $f(x)$ is at least twice differentiable.

Conversely,

Theorem A.2 ([2]) *Let $f(x)$ have Mellin transform $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ in $\langle a, b \rangle$. Assume $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ is meromorphic in $\langle -M, b \rangle$ such that*

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha)|_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} = \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-r}), \quad r > 1 \quad (98)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha) \asymp \sum_{k, \varepsilon} \frac{c_{k, \varepsilon}}{(\alpha - \varepsilon)^{k+1}}. \quad (99)$$

Then

$$f(x)|_{x \rightarrow 0^+} = \sum_{k, \varepsilon} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} c_{k, \varepsilon} x^{-\varepsilon} (\log x)^k + \mathcal{O}(x^M). \quad (100)$$

Likewise, if $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ is meromorphic in $\langle a, M \rangle$, then

$$f(x)|_{x \rightarrow \infty} = \sum_{k, \varepsilon} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} c_{k, \varepsilon} x^{-\varepsilon} (\log x)^k + \mathcal{O}(x^{-M}). \quad (101)$$

A.3 Holomorphic functions

The definition of Mellin transform can be extended to include certain holomorphic functions. The following theorems and definitions are taken from [3] with slight modification.

Theorem A.3 *Let $F(b)$ be analytic in a sector $\mathcal{S}_{a'}^{\theta_1, \theta_2} := \{b : \theta_1 < \arg(b) < \theta_2\}$ based at the point $a' \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\theta_1 < 0 < \theta_2$ and $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that, for all $b \in \mathcal{S}_{a'}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$,*

$$F(b)|_{b \rightarrow 0, \infty} = \mathcal{O}(b^{-r}) \quad (102)$$

where $r \in (a, b)$. Then:

(i) *The complex Mellin transform*

$$\mathcal{M}[F(b); \alpha] = \tilde{F}(\alpha) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_{a'}} F(b) b^{\alpha-1} db \quad (103)$$

exists and does not depend on the pointed contour $\mathcal{C}_{a'}$ (based at $b = a'$) inside the sector $\mathcal{S}_{a'}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$. (ii) The complex Mellin transform equals the real Mellin transform in the fundamental strip. (iii) $\mathcal{M}[F(wb); \alpha] = w^{-\alpha} \tilde{F}(\alpha)$ in the sector $\mathcal{S}_{a'}^{\theta_1 - \arg(w), \theta_2 - \arg(w)}$ for $w \in \mathcal{S}_{a'}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$. (iv) The inverse transform exists and is given by

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r-i\infty}^{r+i\infty} b^{-\alpha} \tilde{F}(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{r-i\infty}^{r+i\infty} b^{-\alpha} \tilde{f}(\alpha) d\alpha. \quad (104)$$

Theorem A.4 *If $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ is the Mellin transform of $f(x)$ in $\langle a, b \rangle$ such that $\tilde{f}(\rho + i\sigma)e^{\theta_1\sigma}$ and $\tilde{f}(\rho + i\sigma)e^{\theta_2\sigma}$ are absolutely integrable, then $f(x)$ can be analytically continued to $F(b)$ in the sector $\mathcal{S}_{a'}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ such that $F(b)|_{b \rightarrow 0, \infty} = \mathcal{O}(b^{-r})$ for all $r \in (a, b)$. Moreover, if $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ possesses regular isolated poles in $\langle a', b' \rangle$ where $a' < a < b < b'$, then the asymptotic expansion of $F(b)$ agrees with the expansion of $f(x)$ up to order $\mathcal{O}(b^{-a'})$ and $\mathcal{O}(b^{-b'})$ at $b = 0$ and $b = \infty$ respectively.*

A.4 Mellin distributions

The relationship between Mellin and Fourier transforms allows the development of Mellin distributions. And the extension to holomorphic functions allows development of Mellin distributions on paracompact C^∞ complex manifolds. Following [3];

Definition A.3 *Let $f_I : \mathbb{R}_+^n := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < y < \infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function with support $I := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : 0 < x \leq x_0 \text{ for some } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\}$. Take $f_I \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ with limits $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f_I(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(x^{-a})$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_I(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(x^{-b})$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the Mellin transform $\tilde{f}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \langle a, b \rangle := (a, b) \times i\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined by (the analytic extension of)¹⁷*

$$\tilde{f}(\alpha) := \mathcal{M}[f_I(x); \alpha] := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_I(x) x^{-\alpha-1} dx. \quad (105)$$

The notation $\langle a, b \rangle$ denotes a poly-interval $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : a < y < b\}$ and $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{\alpha_n}$.

¹⁷The substitution $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$ in the exponent of x conforms with reference [3].

Now, the tight relationship with the Fourier transform motivates the definition

Definition A.4 Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define

$$M_v(I) := \{\psi \in C^\infty(I) : \sup_{x \in I} \left| x^{v+1} (x \partial_x)^\lambda \psi(x) \right| < \infty\} \quad (106)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $\mathbb{N}_0^n$ is the set of non-negative multi-indices. Endow $M_v(I)$ with the topology defined by the sequence of seminorms

$$\varrho_{v,\lambda}(\psi) = \sup_{x \in I} \left| x^{v+1} (x \partial_x)^\lambda \psi(x) \right|. \quad (107)$$

Then $M_{(w)}(I)$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n := (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^n$ is defined to be the inductive limit of $M_v(I)$, i.e. $M_{(w)}(I) = \lim_{v \prec w} M_v(I)$. The dual space $M'_{(w)}(I)$ is comprised of Mellin distributions and the total space of Mellin distributions is

$$M'(I) = \bigcup_{w \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n} M'_{(w)}(I). \quad (108)$$

Finally, the Mellin transform of a distribution $T \in M'_{(w)}(I)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{T}(\alpha) := \mathcal{M}[T; \alpha] := \langle T, x^{-\alpha-1} \rangle, \quad \Re \alpha < w. \quad (109)$$

Note the topological inclusions

$$D(I) \subset M_v(I) \subset M'_{(w)}(I) \subset D'(I), \quad (110)$$

and $\tilde{T}(\alpha)$ is well-defined on the set

$$\Omega_T := \bigcup_{\{v: T \in M'_{(v)}(I)\}} [\Re \alpha < v]. \quad (111)$$

Some of the important properties of the 1-dimensional Mellin transform have their analogues for distributions:

Theorem A.5 The Mellin transform $\tilde{T}(\alpha)$ is holomorphic on Ω_T and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \tilde{T}(\alpha) &= \langle T, x^{-\alpha-1} (-\log x_i) \rangle \\ \tilde{T}(\alpha - \beta) &= \mathcal{M}[x^\beta T; \alpha] & \Re \alpha - \Re \beta < w \\ \alpha^\gamma \tilde{T}(\alpha) &= \mathcal{M}[(x \partial_x)^\gamma T; \alpha] & \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \Re \alpha < w \\ (\alpha^\gamma + 1) \tilde{T}(\alpha + \gamma) &= \mathcal{M}[(\partial_x)^\gamma T; \alpha] & |\gamma| = 1, \Re \alpha < w - \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

B Exponential exercises

The exponential function plays a prominent role in ordinary Mellin transforms, so we want to develop and characterize the functional counterpart by looking at some specific cases of reduction to finite dimensional groups.

Let $E := \exp_{\mathbf{F}_S(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})}$ stand for the exponential on $\mathbf{F}_S(G_A^{\mathbb{C}})$ defined with the product given by the $*$ -convolution. Suppose $\mathfrak{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$, $G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\beta'(g) = \beta g$ where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_+ := \mathbb{R}_+ \times i\mathbb{R}$. For the choice of λ that corresponds to the standard Haar measure, this is just the usual exponential Mellin transform

$$\mathcal{M}_H \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\beta g} g^{\alpha-1} dg = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_H. \quad (113)$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{M}_H \left[E^{-Id'}; \alpha \right] = \Gamma(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_H. \quad (114)$$

As a quick exercise, use Proposition 4.4 with $F_1(\tilde{g}g) = e^{-\tilde{g}g}$ and $F_2(\tilde{g})\rho(\tilde{g}) = e^{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{g}$ to deduce (for $\beta' = Id'$ and a choice of λ corresponding to standard normalization)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha) &= \mathcal{M}_H \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{g} e^{-\tilde{g}g} d(\log \tilde{g}); \alpha \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1+t} dt \\ &= \pi \csc(\pi\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle_H. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

Notice the reduction in $\mathbb{S}_\lambda$. Simple manipulations yield the standard results $\pi\alpha \csc(\pi\alpha) = \Gamma(1+\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(1+\alpha)/\Gamma(\alpha-1) = \alpha(\alpha-1)$.

However, the *functional* Mellin transform provides a mechanism to regularize; and with a suitable choice of λ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_\Gamma \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\beta g} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_\Gamma \quad (116)$$

for $\nu(g_\Gamma) := \log(g)/\Gamma(\alpha) = \nu(g)/\Gamma(\alpha)$ where $\nu(g)$ is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$. To extend the fundamental strip to the left of the imaginary axis, one can use

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma^p} \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\beta g)^p e^{-\beta g} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^p}{\Gamma(\alpha+p)} \mathcal{M}_\Gamma \left[\left(g \frac{d}{dg} \right)^p E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right], \quad \alpha \in \langle -p, \infty \rangle_{\Gamma^p}, \quad p \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

There are other ways to extend the fundamental strip to the left of the imaginary axis. For example, defining $\not{e}^{-\beta g} := e^{-\beta g} - e^{-g}$ yields

$$\mathcal{M}_H \left[\not{E}^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \not{e}^{-\beta g} g^\alpha d\nu(g) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} - \Gamma(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \langle -1, \infty \rangle_H. \quad (118)$$

For $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ this gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\beta g} d\nu(g) = -\log(\beta), \quad (119)$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{M}_H \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \mathcal{M}_\Gamma \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \quad (120)$$

which suggests the definition

$$\mathcal{M}'_\lambda [F; 0] := \frac{d}{d\alpha} \mathcal{M}_\lambda [F; \alpha] \Big|_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} =: \int_{G_A^\mathbb{C}} F(g) \log g \, \mathcal{D}_\lambda g \quad (121)$$

if the limit exists.

Moving on to the non-abelian case, take $G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+ := SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}_+$ with $\mathbb{C}_+ := \mathbb{R}_+ \times i\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$. Define the functional $E^{-\text{Tr}\beta'} : G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g \mapsto e^{-\text{tr}(\beta \cdot g)}$ with $\beta \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+$ positive-definite, and take $\rho : G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_+$ by $g \mapsto \det g$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma_n} \left[E^{-\text{Tr}\beta'}; \alpha \right] &= \int_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+} e^{-\text{tr}\beta g} \det(g^\alpha) d\nu(g_{\Gamma_n}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\Gamma_n} \\ &= \int_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+} e^{-\text{tr}\beta g} (\det g)^\alpha e^{i\varphi(\alpha)} d\nu(g_{\Gamma_n}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\Gamma_n} \\ &= \int_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+} e^{-\text{tr}g} (\det \beta^{-1} \det g)^\alpha e^{i\varphi(\alpha)} d\nu(g_{\Gamma_n}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\Gamma_n} \\ &= \det(\beta^{-\alpha}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\Gamma_n} \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

where $\varphi(\alpha)$ is a complex phase, $\nu(g_{\Gamma_n}) := \nu(g)/\Gamma_n(\alpha)$ with $\nu(g)$ the Haar measure on $GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+$, and $\Gamma_n(\alpha)$ a complex multi-variate gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma_n(\alpha) := \mathcal{M}_H \left[E^{-\text{Tr}Id'}; \alpha \right] := \int_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+} e^{-\text{tr}g} \det(g^\alpha) d\nu(g), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_H. \quad (123)$$

In particular, if $\alpha = 1 \in \mathbb{S}_{\Gamma_n}$, then

$$\det(\beta^{-1}) = \int_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+} e^{-\text{tr}\beta g} (\det g) d\nu(g_{\Gamma_n}) = (\det \beta)^{-1} \quad (124)$$

Remark that $\Gamma_n(\alpha)$ is not a well-defined object unless one restricts to a compact subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+$. Otherwise, the price of extracting $\det(\beta^{-\alpha})$ from the integral comes with the price of regularizing this possibly singular normalization.

Generalizing further, suppose $G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+$ but now $\mathfrak{C}^* = L_B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ the space of bounded linear maps on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $E^{-\beta'} : G_A^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow L_B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by $g \mapsto e^{-\beta \cdot g}$ with $\beta \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+$ again positive-definite. The Haar normalized functional Mellin transform of the exponential

yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_H \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] &:= \int_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+} e^{-\beta g} g^\alpha d\nu(g) , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_H \\
&= \int_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+} e^{-g} (\beta^{-1} g)^\alpha d\nu(g) , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_H \\
&=: \beta_H^{-\alpha} , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_H
\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

which defines the element $\beta_H^{-\alpha} \in M(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_H$.

Unless β is in the center of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+$ or we restrict to a subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})_+$, this can't be reduced further, i.e. $\beta_H^{-\alpha} \neq (\beta)^{-\alpha}$ in general. However, various restrictions allow for various degrees of simplification. For example, if β is positive-definite Hermitian and $G_A^{\mathbb{C}}$ is restricted to a *real* one-parameter subgroup generated by $\log \beta \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, then more can be done. So let us take $G_A^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, since $\beta \in \phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_\Gamma \left[E^{-\beta'}; \alpha \right] &= \int_{\phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})} e^{-\beta g} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\Gamma \\
&= \int_{\phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})} e^{-g} (\beta^{-1} g)^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\Gamma \\
&= \beta^{-\alpha} \int_{\phi_{\log \beta}(\mathbb{R})} e^{-g} g^\alpha d\nu(g_\Gamma) , \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{S}_\Gamma \\
&=: \beta^{-\alpha} \mathcal{N}_\Gamma(\alpha) , \quad \alpha \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle_\Gamma
\end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

The second line follows from the left-invariance of the Haar measure, and the third line from the fact that β and g commute. Most of the time we will absorb the normalization $\mathcal{N}_\Gamma(\alpha)$ into the measure.

References

- [1] LaChapelle, J., A Proposed Definition of Functional Intkegrals, arXiv:math-ph/1501.01602 (2015).
- [2] Flajolet, P., Gourdon, X., Phillipe, D., Mellin Transforms and Asymptotics: Harmonic Sums, Theo. Comp. Sci. **144**, 3–58 (1995).
- [3] Szmydt, Z., Ziemian, B., The Mellin transformation and Fuchsian type partial differential equations, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston (1992).
- [4] LaChapelle, J., Functional Integration on Constrained Function Spaces, arXiv:math-ph/1212.0502 (2012).
- [5] Hofmann, K.H., Morris, S.A., The Structure of Compact Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1998).

- [6] Williams, D.P., Crossed Products of C^* -algebras, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (2007).
- [7] Landsman, N.P., Rieffel induction as generalized quantum Marsden-Weinstein reduction, *J. Geo. and Phys.* 15, 285-319, (1995).
- [8] Blau, M., Thompson, G., Localization and Diagonalization, *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 2192 (1995).
- [9] LaChapelle, J., Functional Integration for Quantum Field Theory, *Integration: Mathematical Theory and Applications*, **1**(4), 1–21 (2008).
- [10] Cartier, P., DeWitt-Morette, C., *Functional Integration: Action and Symmetries*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).